

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,380	10/822,380 04/12/2004		Jun-Sang Park	678-1323 (P11450)	2848
28249	7590	08/07/2006		EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP				BROUSSARD, COREY M	
333 EARLE UNIONDAL		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0 1.1 0 1.41.4	- ,			2835	

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/822,380 PARK ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Corey M. Broussard 2835 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Corey M. Broussard. (3) Michael Musella (Req#39310). (2) _____. (4) . Date of Interview: 03 August 2006. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: . . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative wished to discuss the Advisory Action Filed July 26, 2006 and the interpretation of the word "step". The Examiner explained his posistion, but an agreement was not reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Corey Droussany **IGA LEA-EDMONDS** Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/822,380 PARK ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Lisa Lea-Edmonds 2835 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Lisa Lea-Edmonds. (2) Mr. M. Musella. Date of Interview: 03 August 2006. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: None. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Musella first had a conversation with examiner Broussard, in which Mr. Musella expressed that the sending of the advisory action dated 6/26/06 was improper. Afterwards, examiner Broussard talked to me about the properness of the advisory action. I, in turn, called Mr. Musella in an attempt to understand applicant's position and to further explain the position of examiner Broussard and myself as signing primary examiner. As I understand it, applicant's position is that the advisory action was sent in error because the examiner of record agreed with applicant's remarks and that the admendment to the claims did not change the scope thereof. As interperted by examiner Broussard in light of applicant specification the phrase "a step" was interperted as a very short distance (as in just a step away). However, as amended the pharse "the step" is being interperted to mean stairs or a stair (as in something such as a ledge that resembles a step of a stairway or an inclination or elevation). Thus applicant's change from the pharse "a step" to "the step" has in fact changed the scope of the claims. Therefore the advisory action was sent properly. As stated in my conversation with Mr. Musella, I further conferred with my SPE who agreed that the advisory action was properly.